Approved For Release 2001/08/07: CIA-RDP72-00337R000200040002-1

Executive Registry

17 June 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

Attached Press Clippings

1. You will recall that at the opening of the 9 June session with the Defense Appropriations Subcommittee you ad-libbed that a recent New York Times story indicating a Soviet MIRV test apparently resulted from an analyst in the Defense Department having misread the telemetry, which in fact was ambiguous. When you made this point Senator Symington reacted visibly, looked at and me and made a gesture which I can only interpret as meaning "for Christ's sake here they go again."

2. Incidentally during that session Symington had in front of him the attached William Beecher story in the New York Times.

25X1A

John M. Maury Legislative Counsel

Attachments:

Pentagon Disputed on Statement Russia Is Testing Its Own MIRV

By William Chapman Washington Post Staff Writer

The Pentagon's assertion

there is a body of opinion it was acreed that the missiles would help sustain the Pentawhich holds that the Russians contained multiple warheads are not testing independently but that they could not yet be targetable missiles and that guided to scattered targets.

MIRV testing program and targetable missiles and that guided to scattered targets.

Committee last week that so cient force to destroy hard of an ABM.

missiles.

that the Soviet Union is test- evaluation of what the U.S. three weeks ago that the Soing a sophisticated multiple- learned in observing recent warhead missile that can be Russian missile tests in the guided to separate targets is Pacific. Prior to those tests, being challenged by other there was general agreement members of the U.S. intelli- that MHRVs—multiple, indegence community.

Within that assertion At issue in the dispute is an vin R. Laird told Congress three weeks ago that the Soing a sophisticated multiple learned in observing recent viet Union "has the technical and economic capability" to install MIRVs in its large interesting the pendently targeted reentry very siles, the SS-9s.

Within that assertion At issue in the dispute is an vin R. Laird told Congress three weeks ago that the Soing a sophisticated multiple learned in observing recent viet Union "has the technical and economic capability" to install MIRVs in its large interesting the pendently targeted reentry very siles, the SS-9s.

The Pendagons assertion is the constant to the constant in the const Within that community, hicles-were not being tested.

far as he knew the Soviets are ened U.S. missile silos.

inot testing separately targeted: Using more cautious phraselology, Defense Secretary Mel-At issue in the dispute is an'vin R. Laird told Congress

If accepted, these assertions with early deployment of an information on Soviet tests is In recent background briefambiguous at best.

It was also learned yester-ficials have asserted that new day that Secretary of State evidence shows the Russians' William P. Rogers told the missiles are capable of striktonia. Foreign Relations ing separate targets with suffi-tempting to delay deployment

MIRV, From A1

But the intelligence community, which includes units in the Pentagon, Central Intelligence Agency and the State Department, is far from unanimous in evaluating the recent Russian tests in the Pacific.

One high-ranking intelligence officer said yesterday that some experts believe the tests were only continued experiments with mulitple-warhead missiles that cannot be separately targeted. He said that the evidence is ambiguous and that no black-or-white conclusions could be drawn.

tagon's version was intention-| Administration's ally circulated to counter em anti-ballistic missile system. bryonic movements in Congress to have the U.S. push for gress to have the U.S. push for gon's evaluation after hearing a bilateral cancellation of Secretary of State Rogers' tes-MIRV testing. The Pentagon timony last Friday in closed is opposed to a cessation.

Sen. Stuart Symington (D-Mo.), an influential member of the Armed Services Commit- rectly whether or not the Sovitee, said on the Senate floor early this week that he doubted the truth of the "thrust" of one account of the Pentagon's assessments.

Symington called it "another illustration" of the Pentagon declassifying certain in- intelligence evaluators. formation to support its view-

Other Senators were perplexed to read of the Pentasession.

According reliable to sources, Rogers was asked diets have tested independently targeted warheads. He replied that they had not, so far as his information was concerned, One Senator later obtained confirmation of Rogers' opinion from both State and CIA

That evaluation has been point. He had objected precommunicated to several key viously to the partial declassi-Senators who believe the Pen-fication of information on the

Approved For Release 2001/08/07: CIA-RDP72-00337R000200040002-1 CONTRAL Once the United States has Once the United States has SOVIET GAIN SEEN

the demonstrated ability to field such potent weapons, it is argued, the Russians would fear to end their development short of the same capability. And it would be hard to ascertain upon inspection, without actually taking a missile apart, whether

it contained a MIRV. Secretary of State William P. Rogers referred to the Russian missile tests and arms control implications in a news confer-

ence last Thursday.

The Soviet Union is testing and we can't stop our testing on the hope that sometime an agreement would be reached," he declared.

Continued MIRV tests, he added, "won't prevent the talks from being successful and it wouldn't affect the talks, I don't

believe."

Then, the next day, after a closed-door argument with members of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, Mr. Rogers emerged to concede that successful MIRV tests over the next few months could raise "new problems of inspection" in an arms control agreement, but that the tests should not prevent such an agreement from being achieved.

American strategic planners say they are developing MIRV's to insure that if the Soviet Union installs a heavy missile defense system, United States missiles would still be able to penetrate to their urban targets

in a retaliatory blow. The assurance that United states missiles can respond to a surprise attack by destroying much of the Soviet Union is the foundation of American nuclear

deterrence, they say.

formation, reliable sources say,

suggests the Russians are further along than previously thought toward development of multiple, independently targetable re-entry vehicles, or MIRV's.

IN MIRV PROGRAM

Pentagon Analysis of Tests

Bolsters U.S. Advocates

of Continued Testing

By WILLIAM BEECHER

Special to The New York Times

new analysis of Soviet missile tests in the Pacific is reinforc-

ing arguments of those within

the Administration who favor

continuation of United States

experts in the Pentagon pri-

marily, suggests that multiple

warheads now being tested by

the Russians may be capable of

being guided to three scattered

targets and powerful enough to

iestroy hardened missile silos.

cialists had believed the Rus-

slans were testing a three-

part multiple warhead all three

elements of which landed in a

fairly tight, predictable pattern

near one another, attacking

Thus the new intelligence in-

only a single target.

Until now, United States spe-

The analysis, by intelligence

tests of multiple warheads.

WASHINGTON, June 8 - A

The United States, in the early stages of its multiple warhead program, also developed a three-part warhead whose elements landed in a tight pattern against a single target. Since then it has gone on to a more sophisticated system that directs the warheads against, several targets, but in a man-ner different from that the Russians now are thought to be using.

The United States has been testing such weapons since last summer. In recent days criticalem of those tests has been the tration and in Congress. The critics suggest these tests might jeopardize achievement of a strategic arms freeze with the

Russians.

To Overwhelm Defense

MIRV's are designed to overwhelm a large missile defense by showering so many warheads over enemy territory that they will exhaust all available defensive missiles and then destroy their targets.

But these weapons have another potential quality: if individual warheads are sufficiently potent and accurate, they could be used in a surprise attack to destroy a foe's intercontinental ballistic missiles and thus eliminate his ability to retaliate

effectively.

It is this second quality that is the focus of the current debate.

At present the United States is developing a three-warhead MIRV for its Minuteman 3 and a bewarhead MIRV for its Poseidon missile. Tests of these warheads started last August and are slated to continue into early next year.

times referred to as a space "bus." As the bus travels through space it makes slight maneuvers and pops out each re-entry vehicle, or warhead, on a predetermined course to a different target. The warheads are spaced from 20 to 50 miles apart, so that no one enemy defensive missile could knock down more than one warhead.

The present plans call for MIRV's to be installed on 500 Minuteman 3 missiles, out of a total Minuteman force of 1,000, and on 496 Poseidon missiles out of a total Poseidon-Polaris force of 656. The United States' strategic missile force would

then have more than 9,000 warheads.

But the United States is willing to alter or scrap these plans if a mutually advantageous arms freeze can be negotiated,

officials declare.

The Soviet Union has been testing a three-part multiple warhead for its largest missile, the SS-9. Each warhead is believed to be about five megatons-the equivalent of five million tons of TNT—roughly 25 times as large as the war-heads in the United States Russians want to ban MIRV's. MIRV's.

Evidence from current Soviet Russians may be putting guid-

Some senior officials say the

nub of the current Administra-Russians are not anxious for a tion apprehension centers on ban, since MIRV's would give the fact that the SS-9, which they say the Soviet Union continues to build at a rate of about 50 a year, carries much say the Russians know United larger multiple warheads than States MIRV's are now too would be needed if the Russians small to attack hardened silos were primarily concerned with penetrating a missile defense in the United States for the purpose of destroying American cities in a second strike. Such weapons, however, would be ideal for a first-strike attack against hardened Minuteman sites, these officials say.

By contrast, they say, United States MIRV's are too small to be relied on for a high-contibe relied on for a high-confidence first strike against hardof thought, including some
ened Soviet ICBM silos. "We Congressmen and some officials
could substantially cut down of the Arms Control and Disthe number of warheads in a armament Agency, said that if

To date, according to Administration officials, the Russians have more than 1,200 intercontinental missiles, in place or going in. More than 225 of these are the giant SS-9 missiles, the officials say, and if a 50-a-year pace continues the Russians will have about 500 SS-9's in five years.

Such a force, with three warheads in each SS-9 and an accuracy of about one-quarter mile, could destroy 95 per cent of Minuteman missiles in a surprise attack, according to these officials. Some outside scientists contend that the destruc-

tion would not be this great.
Concern that the Russians are in fact trying to erode the United States' deterrent power is a large factor behind the Administration's effort to get a limited missile defense to provide some close-in protection for Minutemen, and to develop MIRV's to insure that missiles surviving a first strike could penetrate to their targets.

3 Schools of Thought

Within the Administration there are three main schools of thought on whether the

One school holds that they Evidence from current Soviet are very much interested and tests in the Pacific suggests the that continuation of an active Russians may be putting guid-ance equipment and a small States will spur the United propulsion system on each re-into trying to achieve an arms entry vehicle, rather than fol- limitation agreement. Under lowing the United States patthis theory, continuation of
tern of putting such equipment plans to test and even start
only on the larger dispenser, deployment of weapons capable
the "bus." of carrying MIRV's should speed the whole bargaining process.

Another school holds that the

very effectively. Thus, unless the United States built larger MIRV warheads, the lack of a ban would be to Russia's advantage, this school holds. According to this school, it makes no difference, so far as the Russians' attitude toward an arms agreement goes, whether or not the United States continues testing.

Approved For Release 2001/08/07: CIA+RDP72+00337R0062000400624by should stop tests unmolistely. Otherwise, nem-Poseidon and thus get bigger both countries genuinely want

hala of this action ask, with

cheating and deploying MIRV's secretly in existing missiles?

Most authorities agree that, short of actually dismantling a missile, which neither country is likely to approve, it would be impossible to detect cheating of this kind.

sive missiles to those of existing missiles. That the number of missiles was kept frozen could be checked with spy satellites, they say. As for size, they continue, an occasional spot check on a missile site with a tape measure might provide sufficient inspection. cient inspection.

Is the Genie Out?

A significant number of officials, in the Pentagon, State Department and White House, are suggesting it might already be too late to keep the genie bottled up. But this would not be disastrous to the cause of arms control, they contend.

If the number of defensive missiles can be limited to a low level, and if the number of offensive missiles and bombers can be frozen at about present levels, they say, it might not be necessary to ban MIRV's.

Rather, a limit could be imposed restricting the dimensions or rocket thrust of offensiven Administration.